

MS4 Annual Report/Interim Progress Certification

version 1.0

(Submission #: HQA-TDXW-B5DP5, version 1)

Details

Originally Started By Don Bathke
Submitted 3/31/2025 (260 days ago) by Sarah Dobson
Alternate Identifier NYR20A132
Submission ID HQA-TDXW-B5DP5
Status Deemed Complete

Form Input

MS4 Operator Information

Municipality Name or Legal Entity Name
Village of New Hartford

Permit ID #:
NYR20A132

MS4 Operator Type
Traditional land use control

Traditional Land Use Control
Village

Traditional Land Use Control

Traditional land use control MS4 Operator requirements are found in Part VI of the MS4 General Permit.

Legal Municipal/Entity Mailing address

48 Genesee Street
New Hartford, NY 13413
Oneida

Ranking Official

| Official Title | First and Last Name | Phone | Email |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Mayor | Donald Ryan | 315-794-4244 | Mayor@villageofnewhartford.com |

Report Preparer

| Report Preparer Title | First and Last Name | Phone | Email |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|
| Stormwater Public Contact | Donald Bathke | 3152699786 | Donjb69348@aol.com |

Stormwater Program Coordinator

| Coordinator Title | First and Last Name | Phone | Email |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Stormwater Program Coordinator | Thomas Hughes | 315-724-0379 | Tomhughes@villageofnewhartford.com |

Part IV

Was the information in this section completed as part of a coalition/group?

No

MS4 General Permit Resources

Use the following webpages for more information on the permit and fact sheet:

[MS4 Permit Webpage](#)

[MS4 Toolbox](#)

SWMP Plan

Annually: Have the alternative implementation agreements in the SWMP Plan been updated? (Part IV.A.1.e.)

Yes

Annually: Has the SWMP been updated? (Part IV.B.3.)

Yes

Mapping

Annually: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated? (Part IV.D.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for this item.

The Village is a densely populated built out system with no changes to the stormwater mapping since previous iterations of prior SPEDES general permit coverage.

What tools are used to satisfy the comprehensive system mapping requirements? (e.g. paper maps, GIS, web mappers, etc.)

The Village stormwater conveyance system is one of many layers on the on the Oneida county tax map. The gis stormwater layer includes photos, physical attributes including identifier numbers, MH information including sump depths, piping information including but not limited to size, type and flow direction. Outfall locations have also been identified. Mapping has also been completed on the village sewer shed breakdown.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has Phase I of the comprehensive mapping been completed? (Part IV.D.2.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has Phase II of the comprehensive mapping been completed? (Part IV.D.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for this item.

NA until 2029.

Legal Authority

Within three (3) years of the EDC: For newly designated MS4 Operators, has adequate legal authority been developed and implemented? (Part IV.E.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

The Village is not newly designated and is a existing ms4 operator with continuing coverage from previous iterations of the SPEDES general permit. And has adopted a legal local ordinance equivalent to the requirements of part VI.E.2.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

Regarding the question of alternative implementation agreements and coalitions, although not a direct contributing party in this report completion, the overall ms4 program is aided by Oneida county government and advisory coalitions. Some examples of these include an IMA with Oneida Herkimer Solid Waste authority for providing waste disposal options. An IMA with Oneida county Water Quality and Water Pollution Control for sanitary sewer treatment functions including conveyance via a part county interceptor. WQWPC also provided guidance in the development of a part county working group that lead to the formation of Operation Ripple Effect that assisted in mitigating a DEC consent order. An IMA with Sauquoit Creek Basin Commission. An IMA with Mohawk Valley Stormwater Coalition to assist MS4 communities in achieving phase II stormwater Minimum Control measures and other water quality issues to be addressed on a regional approach to improve state water quality.

Part V

In Year 5: Has the SWMP Plan been evaluated? (Part V.C.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029. The SWMP will be evaluated during year 5 of the SPEDES GP24.

Part VI

Which MCMs in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any?

NONE PROVIDED

Minimum Control Measure 1

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the focus areas been identified? (Part VI.A.1.a.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the target audience(s) and associated pollutant generating activities been identified? (Part VI.A.1.b.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the education and outreach topics been identified and how the education and outreach topics will reduce the potential for pollutants explained? (Part VI.A.1.c.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

In Year 5: Has the method(s) used for distribution of educational messages been identified? (Part VI.A.2.a.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 5: Has one educational message been delivered to each target audience(s) for each focus area based on the education and outreach topic(s)? (Part VI.A.2.b.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have target audiences, focus areas, and/or education and outreach topics been updated? (Part VI.A.2.c.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

The Village is an existing ms4 operator with continuing coverage from previous iterations of the SPEDES general permit. MCM 1 control measures have been included in the existing SWMP. As the Mohawk Valley Stormwater Coalition evolves there will be more regional opportunities for MCM 1 activities.

Minimum Control Measure 2

Annually: Has an opportunity for public involvement/participation in the development and implementation of the SWMP been provided? (Part VI.B.1.a.)

Yes

What was the opportunity for public involvement/participation in the SWMP?

Public hearings or meetings

Citizen advisory group on stormwater management

Coordination with other pre-existing public involvement/participation opportunities

Annually: Has the public been informed about the opportunity for their involvement in the development and implementation of the SWMP and how they can get involved? (Part VI.B.1.b.)

Yes

What is the method(s) used for distribution to inform the public of the opportunity for involvement?

Public notice

Printed materials (e.g., mail inserts, brochures and newsletters)

Electronic materials (e.g., websites, email listservs)

Displays in public areas (e.g., town halls, library, parks)

Workshops or focus groups

Social Media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, blogs)

Mass media (e.g., newspapers, public service announcements on radio or cable)

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the publicly available SWMP Plan been provided? (Part VI.B.2.a.)

Yes

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the draft annual report been provided? (Part VI.B.2.b.i.)

Yes

What opportunity for review and comment on the draft annual report has been provided?

Presentation of the draft Annual Report

Posting of draft Annual Report on a public website

Annually: Have the comments received on the SWMP Plan been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

To date from the inception of the ms4 program no comments have been recieved that require a summary.

Annually: Have the comments received on the draft annual report been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

To date from the inception of the ms4 program no comments have been recieved that requiring a summary.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

The Village is an existing ms4 operator with continuing coverage from previous iterations of the SPEDES general permit. MCM 2 control measures have been included in the existing SWMP. As the Mohawk Valley Stormwater Coalition evolves there will be more regional opportunities for MCM 2 activities.

Minimum Control Measure 3

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has an inventory of monitoring locations been developed? (Part VI.C.1.c.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

This will be an item of discussion for the Mohawk Valley Stormwater Coalition to discuss how monitoring is prioritized and conducted on a regional level and will be accomplished within 3 years of EDC.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the monitoring location inventory been updated? (Part VI.C.1.c.ii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have monitoring locations been prioritized? (Part VI.C.1.d.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027. Prioritization will be established when monitoring locations are developed

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the monitoring location prioritization been updated? (Part VI.C.1.d.iii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028.

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has a monitoring locations inspection and sampling program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.1.e.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2026. Prioritization will be established when monitoring locations are developed.

In Year 5: Have all the monitoring locations been inspected? (Part VI.C.1.e.i.a))

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been provided? (Part VI.C.1.e.ii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received monitoring locations inspection and sampling training been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iv.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge track down program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.2.)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge track down procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge track down been provided? (Part VI.C.2.b.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge track down procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.2.c.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge track down procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.2.d.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge elimination program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.3.)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge elimination procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge elimination been provided? (Part VI.C.3.b.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge elimination procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.3.c.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA. until 2027.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge elimination procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.3.d.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

The Village is an existing ms4 operator with continuing coverage from previous iterations of the SPEDES general permit. MCM 3 control measures have been included in the existing SWMP. These measures include the adoption of a local ordinance addressing IDDE that conforms to IV.E.2.a.

Minimum Control Measure 4

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a construction oversight program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.D.3)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's construction oversight procedures prior to conducting construction oversight been provided? (Part VI.D.3.b.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received construction oversight procedures training been updated? (Part VI.D.3.c.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the construction oversight procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.D.3.e.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Annually: Has the inventory of construction sites been updated? (Part VI.D.4.b.)

Yes

How many construction sites are on the inventory?

0

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Have construction sites been prioritized? (Part VI.D.5.a.)

Yes

How many high priority construction sites are on the inventory?

0

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the construction site prioritization been updated? (Part VI.D.5.c.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2026.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs for acceptance received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting SWPPP reviews and/or approvals? (Part VI.D.6.a.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs been updated? (Part VI.D.6.d.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Currently no village individuals have recieved 4 hour department endorsed training to include on an inventory. SWPPP reviews would be accomplished by a third party complying with the requirements of VI.D.6.d. until the time village staff receives training. The thought being that with such limited exposed to these reviews it would be wiser to let an individual dealing with reviews more frequently to ensure proper conformity to the regulations.

Are pre-construction meetings conducted prior to the commencement of construction activity? (Part VI.D.7.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for construction site inspections received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting construction site inspections? (Part VI.D.8.a.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Annually: Have all sites with construction activity identified in the inventory been inspected during active construction after the pre-construction meeting, or sooner if deficiencies are noted that require attention? (Part VI.D.8.c.)

Yes

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for construction site inspections been updated? (Part VI.D.8.d.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Currently no village individuals have received 4 hour department endorsed training to include on an inventory. SWPPP reviews would be accomplished by a third party complying with the requirements of VI.D.6.d. until the time village staff receives training. The thought being that with such limited exposure to these type of reviews it would be wiser to let an individual dealing with reviews more frequently to ensure proper conformity to the regulations.

Are final construction site inspections conducted? (Part VI.D.9.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

No construction activities have occurred within the Village from the beginning of the Phase II Stormwater regulations that meet the criteria detailed in VI.D. Should a development or redevelopment project occur meeting those requirements the Village would rely on a department endorsed entity to conduct the SWPPP review, construction site inspections and construction oversize procedures.

Minimum Control Measure 5

Annually: Has the inventory of post-construction SMPs been updated? (Part VI.E.2.c.)

Yes

How many post-construction SMPs are on the inventory?

0

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have the required components been included in the post-construction SMP inventory? (Part VI.E.2.d.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.E.4.)

Yes

Has each post-construction SMP identified in the inventory been inspected at the required frequency? (Part VI.E.4.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

There have been no SMP's installed.

How many post-construction SMPs have been inspected at the required frequency?

0

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures prior to conducting post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance been provided? (Part VI.E.4.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

Annually: Have names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures training updated? (Part VI.E.4.c.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Currently no village individuals have recieved 4 hour department endorsed training to be include on an inventory for conducting SMP inspections reviews. This would be accomplished by a third party complying with the requirements of VI.E.4.c. untill the time village staff receives training. The reason being that with such limited exposed to these type of reviews it would be wiser to let an individual dealing with reviews more frequently to ensure proper conformity to the regulations.

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.E.4.d.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA untill 2027.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

No post construction SMP's requiring inspection and maintenance have been constructed within the Village from the beginning of the Phase II Stormwater regulations that meet the criteria detailed in VI.E. should a development or redevelopment project occur meeting those requirements the Village would rely on a department endorsed entity to conduct SMP inspection.

Minimum Control Measure 6

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have best management practices (BMPs) been incorporated into the municipal facility program and municipal operations program? (Part VI.F.1.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.F.2.a.)

Yes

Which BMPs have been incorporated into the municipal facility program?

Spill Prevention and Response Procedures
Manage Vegetated Areas and Open Space on Municipal Property
Waste, Garbage, and Floatable Debris
Salt Storage Piles or Pile Containing Salt
Erosion and Sediment Controls
Follow a Preventive Maintenance Program
Minimize Exposure

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal facility procedures prior to conducting municipal facility procedures been provided? (Part VI.F.2.a.ii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal facility procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal facility procedures been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iv.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028.

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility inventory been developed? (Part VI.F.2.b.i.)

Yes

How many municipal facilities are on the inventory?

5

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the municipal facility inventory been updated? (Part VI.F.2.b.ii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the municipal facilities been prioritized? (Part VI.F.2.c.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2027.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the municipal facility prioritization been updated? (Part VI.F.2.c.iii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028.

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility specific SWPPP for each high priority municipal facility been developed? (Part VI.F.2.d.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 5: Has all wet weather visual monitoring of the monitoring locations at all high priority municipal facilities been conducted? (Part VI.F.2.d.ii.a))

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each high priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.d.ii.c))

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each low priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.e.ii.c))

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal operations program been developed? (Part VI.F.3.a.)

Yes

Which BMPs have been incorporated into the municipal operations program?

Minimize Exposure

Spill Prevention and Response Procedures

Manage Vegetated Areas and Open Space on Municipal Property

Waste, Garbage, and Floatable Debris

Salt Storage Piles or Pile Containing Salt

Erosion and Sediment Controls

Follow a Preventive Maintenance Program

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal operations procedures prior to conducting municipal operations been provided? (Part VI.F.3.a.ii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal operations procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iii.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal operations procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iv.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2028.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have catch basins in need of inspection been identified? (Part VI.F.3.c.i.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has catch basin inspection information been inventoried? (Part VI.F.3.c.ii.)

Yes

How many catch basins have been inspected?

50

How many catch basins that were inspected had a sump >50% full of trash, sediment, and debris?

0

In Year 5: Have all streets, bridges, parking lots, and right of ways been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.a))

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

NA until 2029.

Annually: Have all streets in business districts and commercial areas been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.b))

Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have roads, bridges, parking lots, and right of way maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.ii.)

Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have winter road maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.iii.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

In previous iteration the Village has created a Municipal Operations and Guidance document as a SWMP supplement, and also developed a Spill Response Protocols guidance.

Part VIII

Does the MS4 Operator discharge to an impaired water listed in Appendix C of GP-0-24-001?

No

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

The Village discharges into the Sauquoit creek (lower) which is a tributary to the Mohawk river that is included on the 303d list for fecal and coliform.

Part IX

Does the MS4 Operator discharge to a TMDL listed in Table 3 of GP-0-24-001?

No

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

Water quality report for Sauquoit Creek, lower shows impairment to aquatic life from PCB's

Interim Progress Status

Interim Progress Resources

Use the following webpages for more information on the permit and fact sheet:
[MS4 Permit Webpage](#)

[MS4 Toolbox](#)

Have you reviewed compliance items due within two years of EDC?

No

Have you reviewed compliance items due within three years of EDC?

No

Have you reviewed compliance items due within four years of EDC?

No

Have you reviewed compliance items due within five years of EDC?

No

Have you reviewed compliance items which need to be completed routinely (annually, every five (5) years, etc.)?

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for one or more of the future compliance items above.

Interim Progress report period January 3, 2025 thru June 30, 2025 submission in not due untill October 1. 2025.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section.

NONE PROVIDED

Certification

The ranking elected official or Principal Executive Officer for the MS4 Operator will be signing the form.

Yes

As the Ranking Elected Official or Principal Executive Officer, please download the certification form using the link below. Complete and sign the certification. Then, upload the certification form to this Interim Progress Certification and/or Annual Report.

[Certification Form](#)

Attach completed certification form.

20250331104714878.pdf - 03/31/2025 11:28 AM

Comment

NONE PROVIDED

Attachments

| Date | Attachment Name | Context | User |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------|
| 3/31/2025 11:28 AM | 20250331104714878.pdf | Attachment | Sarah Dobson |

Status History

| | User | Processing Status |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 3/3/2025 11:21:37 AM | Don Bathke | Draft |
| 3/31/2025 11:29:35 AM | Sarah Dobson | Submitting |
| 3/31/2025 11:29:49 AM | Sarah Dobson | Submitted |
| 4/4/2025 2:51:11 PM | Michelle Nowak | Deemed Complete |

Processing Steps

| Step Name | Assigned To/Completed By | Date Completed |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Form Submitted | Sarah Dobson | 3/31/2025 11:29:49 AM |
| Review | Michelle Nowak | 4/4/2025 2:51:00 PM |